

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
RE-INSTECTION (FUI) ARMS COMELAINT NO.			
AIRS ID#: 0250374 DATE: <u>12/2/2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>12:10 PM</u> DEPART: <u>12:40 PM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: TARMAC FLORIDA INC			
FACILITY LOCATION: 290 NW 171TH ST			
MIAMI 33169			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SCOTT QUAAS PHONE: (954)425-4165			
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/11/2005 / 2/10/2010			
(effective date) (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
DARTH, TESTING DECORDIZEDING REQUIREMENTS. D.L. (A 20/ 414 E 1 C			
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
Stack Emissions			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check papropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Donly one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIR (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (c	continued)
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking are application of water or environmentally safe of emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and or re-entrainment, and from building or work are reduction of stock pile height, or installation of particulate matter from stock piles? 	and yards, which shall include one or more of the followards, stock piles, and yards?	
 b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did to notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62- 	out replacement? [by different than that noted on the most [the owner submit a new and complete	□Yes ⊠ No
FRANK DELGADO	12/2/2009	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	12/2010	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS: THIS FACILITY HAS FOUR (4) SILOS AND A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS CONDUCTED BY AND AT THE TIME OF THE INSPECTION THE FACILITY WAS	BIGAIL DIAZ ON NOVEMBER 23, 2009.	

I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE FACILITY.